Nematode IPM in young groves

Larry Duncan UF/IFAS CREC







Nematode IPM in young groves with HLB

Larry Duncan UF/IFAS CREC







Brief History of Sting nematode in Florida citrus Damage caused and disease progression Why is it the most serious nematode problem in young groves Management



Influence of the Sting Nematode, Belonolaimus longicaudatus, on Young Citrus Trees

DAVID T. KAPLAN¹





OURNAL of VEMATOLOGY

Prior to the mid-late 1980s Freezes

- Sting nematode 'recently associated' with young citrus trees in a nursery and a field experiment.
- Demonstrated root damage associated with nematode density.
- Demonstrated symptoms caused by death of root apical meristem.
- Demonstrated that all commonly grown and experimental rootstocks in both sites were adversely affected.
- Demonstrated value of hot water dips to prevent spread from nurseries.
- Recommended sanitation and nematicides

Stunted trees stung by sting nematode

young trees have virtually topped growing on the high, andy Ridge of northeast Pol They're not dving: they simpl

hit a certain height - like maybe hree-and-a-half feet - and don't ow anymon ers haven't had the art to remove those trees. Afte all, they're still alive. And scienhave now found that man stunted trees start growing gain after years of standing stil reity of Florida In

ree

st nematologists said sting odes "can be a tremende lem in a nursery," and cause ting of young trees if the sery trees were planted. "Bu still thought that in groves, or nematodes would cause

SUDDENLY, THEY'RI AFFECTING YOUNG GROVES The theory that groves w ably safe from sting a ast few years. Interesting es as the result of Florida

By Ernie Net

Orange

Osceola

Ъ

œ

Highlands

40 50 60

ക





Long before HLB....

- Mowing replaced disking
- There were successive freezes
- Patchy growth of young trees

Research showed

- Sting nematode was widely encountered: ٠ 64% of 200 groves on central ridge and 82% of Polk County sites.
- Sting nematode is a species complex
- Tree growth and fruit production were markedly reduced on heavily infested trees.
- Trees were otherwise healthy, and groves remained profitable.
- Affected trees often resumed growth with time.

Problem receded when replanting decreased





Disease progression

- Small trees with few roots support many sting nematodes
- Large trees with many roots support few sting nematode
- Soil moister beneath small trees, favoring the nematode







Summary

- First recognized as widespread pest of young trees when replanting following the freezes of 1980s. Now replanting is in response to HLB.
- Large nematode, adapted to <u>coarse</u>, <u>sandy soil</u>.
- Root damage very apparent. Feeds at root tip, causes stubby root symptoms.
- Moves downward when soil dries.
- <u>Very wide host range, including many</u> weed species.



Nematode IPM (in order of importance)

- Sanitation
- Resistance/tolerance
- Cultural
- Chemical/Biological





Sting nematode IPM

Sanitation

- Resistance/tolerance
- Cultural
- Chemical/Biological

Nematode Rootstock Certification Program

- Citrus nematode
- Burrowing nematode
- Coffee lesion nematode

- *Not* Sting nematode because it is too widespread, unlike the others.
- Became a moot point when nurseries were all require to grow containerize trees





Sting nematode IPM

- Sanitation
- Resistance/tolerance
- Cultural
- Chemical/Biological

In a 1985 survey of common rootstocks, all were heavily infested and damaged by sting nematode.

- Changsha mandarin
- Cleopatra mandarin
- Flying Dragon trifoliate orange
- Roubidoux trifoliate orange
- Jacobson trifoliate orange
- Alemow
- Milam lemon
- Palestine sweet lime
- Sour orange
- Carrizo citrange
- Morton citrange
- Rusk citrange
- Swingle citrumelo
- Rubidoux x Koethen
 - Rangpur x Troyer





Rootstock tolerance

• CRDF trials with newer and experimental UF and USDA rootstocks are ongoing







Rootstock tolerance

• CRDF trials with newer and experimental UF and USDA rootstocks are ongoing







Rootstock tolerance

- To date some lines appear more tolerant (left) than others (right)
- Validation trials of best lines vs conventional lines in greenhouse
- Sting nematode resistance trials in greenhouse
- Performance of new rootstocks in CRAFT trials and commercial groves.





Sting nematode IPM

- Sanitation
- Resistance/tolerance
- Cultural
- Chemical/Biological





Cultural practices Non-host cover crops

- Sunn hemp (*Crotalaria juncea*) can suppress sting nematode prior to planting.
- Not practical for row middle management.
- Excellent green manure.





Mowed

row middle

Cultural practices Non-host cover crops

- Perennial peanut (Arachis glabrata) can suppress sting and dagger nematode in row middles.
- Establishes slowly, requires initial irrigation.





Month



Sting nematode IPM

- Sanitation
- Resistance/tolerance
- Cultural
- Chemical/Biological





Monitoring

- Necessary for rational decisions
- Seasonality not evident
- Ectoparasites are the only species with unambiguous symptoms on citrus.





Monitoring

- Necessary for rational decisions
- Seasonality not evident
- Ectoparasites are the only species with unambiguous symptoms on citrus.
- Sting nematode populations resurge following nematicide use. Repeated applications required (spring and fall).



Xiphinema vulgarae (per liter soil)



New nematicide chemistries

1. CRDF trial to estimate profitability of nematode management in young <u>HLB-</u> <u>affected trees</u>

- 2. Compare nematicides for efficacy
 - Six nematicides
 - Eight, 4-tree plots per treatment
 - All but one nematicide treatment occurs spring and fall





Chemical management of the citrus nematode

Recently registered products have <u>new modes of action</u> that are highly toxic to nematodes, but <u>less toxic to mammals</u> and birds by several orders of magnitude than existing carbamate products.

- ✓ Vydate[®] L (*oxamyl; AChEl MOA; LD₅₀ 5.4*)
- ✓ Nimitz[®] (fluensulfone; Unknown MOA; LD₅₀ 671)
- ✓ Salibro[™] (fluazaindolizine; Unknown MOA; LD₅₀ TBD)
- ✓ Velum[®] Prime (*fluopyram; SDI MOA; LD₅₀ >2000*)

Potential to rotate in order to avoid accelerated microbial degradation.

New chemistries are also much less soluble than organophosphate or carbamate nematicides, thus posing less risk to groundwater.

All nematicides are expensive and pose some environmental risk.

Sampling is the basis of rational IPM!

Chemical management

- Untreated trees larger initially (by chance).
- Root mass for untreated trees was initially highest, eventually lowest.
- Oxamyl effect on roots was superior among the nematicides tested.





Chemical management

- Nematicide efficacy was variable, but oxamyl consistently reduced nematodes compared to the untreated trees.
- The 'area under the curve' or overall average nematode population size was least for oxamyl and greatest for aldicarb.





Chemical management

- Fruit weight of 4-year-old trees was significantly related to the size of trees at the beginning of the trial and to the overall abundance of sting nematodes.
- However, the treatments did not increase yield enough to be profitable.





Sting nematode and HLB

 Will trees respond profitably to sting nematode IPM if HLB infection is delayed for several years?





Sting nematode and HLB

 CRDF trial to measure the interaction between HLB and sting nematode using IPCs and nematicides.







Sting nematode IPM

 Ideally, sting nematode will one day be managed in citrus with a combination of cover cropping with non-host plants, rootstock tolerance/resistance, HLB avoidance, and judicious use of nematicides.







Thank you!

